Hang Tuah: Loyalty, Honor, and the Malay Soul

An Interpretive Micro-Course on the Laksamana's Dilemmas

Falah Digital

Hang Tuah: Loyalty, Honor, and the Malay Soul

Ten Themes from the Legend, Turned into Questions

A Falah Digital Micro-Course · 10 Lessons

Adapted faithfully from the Malay narrative *Hang Tuah* by Jauhari Che Wan, which retells the epic of the Melakan laksamana (admiral) and the teaching dialogues it inspires.

Introduction: Not a Retelling — an Examination

Almost everyone in the Malay world already knows the *story* of Hang Tuah: the boy from Bintan, the magical keris Taming Sari, the fatal duel with his sworn brother Hang Jebat, the cry *"Takkan Melayu hilang di dunia"* ("The Malay shall never vanish from the earth"). Retelling it again would teach nothing new.

So this course does something different. It treats the legend as a set of **moral problems** and turns each chapter into a **question you have to answer**, not a scene you watch. Hang Tuah is the most beloved and the most troubling figure in Malay literature precisely because his greatest virtue — absolute loyalty — is also the source of his greatest tragedy. To study him honestly is to study the limits of loyalty itself.

Two tools run through the course and are collected at the end: a **character-and-values matrix** (who embodies which value, and at what cost) and a sustained **comparison between personal loyalty and public justice** — the fault line the whole epic is built on. Each lesson ends with a question meant for journaling or discussion, not a summary to memorise.

> *A note on method:* this is a faithful interpretive adaptation of the source. Where the source gives a line in Malay, it is quoted and rendered; the analysis is the course's own.

Lesson 1 — Bintan: The Calling (Theme: Identity)

A boy of nine or ten sits alone on a flat rock at Bintan, and an old man says of him: *"Budak ini nampak sesuatu yang kita tidak nampak"* — "This child sees something the rest of us cannot." Before Hang Tuah is a warrior he is a *seer* — someone marked out, different, carrying a destiny he did not choose.

The legend begins not with a deed but with an identity conferred by others. That is the first problem of the whole epic: Hang Tuah's self is given to him — by his village, his king, his code — before he is old enough to consent to it.

Question: What identity was handed to you before you could choose it — and which parts of it have you since made your own?

Lesson 2 — Gunung Ledang: The Impossible Order (Theme: Duty)

The ascent of Gunung Ledang — in the legend, the quest to win the hand of the mountain's princess for the Sultan on terms no human could meet — is the course's study of **duty pushed past the possible**. A servant is commanded to achieve the unachievable, in the name of a master's desire that is itself unjust. Does loyalty require attempting the impossible? Does it require attempting the *wrong*?

Question: When have you been handed a task that was impossible, or that you suspected was wrong — and what did loyalty actually demand of you then?

Lesson 3 — Court Slander: Loyalty Under Injustice (Theme: Fidelity Tested)

Fitnah Istana — the court slander. Hang Tuah, falsely accused, is sentenced to death by the very Sultan he has served without reservation. Here the epic sets its central trap: the most loyal man in Melaka is destroyed by the throne he is loyal *to*, and he does not resist. His non-resistance is either the summit of virtue or its collapse — the course refuses to decide for you.

Question: Is there a point at which continued loyalty to an unjust authority stops being a virtue and becomes complicity? Where is your line?

Lesson 4 — The Bendahara's Secret: Truth Above Power (Theme: Conscience)

Bendahara Tun Perak secretly hides the condemned Hang Tuah instead of executing him. When Tuah asks whether deceiving the Sultan is not itself treason (*derhaka*), the Bendahara answers with the moral key of the entire epic:

> "Obedience is not blind. Obedience to the king is obedience to justice. If the king commits tyranny, then obeying him means supporting tyranny — and that, my son, is the greater treason: treason against God, against reason, against conscience."

And: *"Sometimes, to be a true hero, you need not hold a keris. It is enough to hold a secret, and to bear the loneliness of it."*

This lesson is the hinge. The Bendahara models a loyalty that serves the *institution and its justice* rather than the ruler's momentary will — the exact distinction Hang Tuah himself will fail to make.

Question: Name a time you had to choose between obeying a person and serving the truth that person was supposed to stand for. What did it cost?

Lesson 5 — Hang Jebat Rises: Rebellion in the Name of Justice (Theme: The Counter-Argument)

Believing Tuah executed unjustly, Hang Jebat revolts, seizes the palace, and declares: *"Engkau mati kerana keadilan yang mati. Sekarang, aku akan hidup untuk menghidupkannya semula"* — "You died because justice itself died. Now I will live to revive it." Borrowing Tuah's keris, he says he takes it *"to claim what should have been yours — justice."*

Jebat is the legend's great heretic and its conscience. He voices what the reader feels: that a throne which murders its best servant on a lie has forfeited obedience. He is wrong in his methods (he kills the innocent, seizes the queen) and right in his grievance — and the course holds both truths at once.

Question: Jebat is right about the injustice and wrong about the response. Separate the two. When is a just grievance not a licence for an unjust rebellion?

Lesson 6 — The Duel of Two Friends: Loyalty vs Justice (Theme: The Tragedy)

The heart of the epic: Hang Tuah, pardoned and recalled, is ordered to kill the sworn brother who rose up *to avenge him*. Tuah obeys. In the source, a courtier frames Tuah's trap exactly: to reappear and admit his sentence was unjust would shame the Sultan; so Tuah must kill the one man fighting for the truth about him.

This is the collision the whole course has been building toward: **personal loyalty** (to the Sultan, to the code) against **public justice** (which Jebat, for all his crimes, was defending). Tuah chooses the throne over the truth and over love. He wins the duel and loses everything that made the victory worth having.

Question: If you had been Hang Tuah, keris in hand, facing the friend who rebelled *for your sake* — what does honor actually require? Write your answer before reading the next lesson.

Lesson 7 — The Bitter Victory: The Cost of Obedience (Theme: Price)

Kemenangan yang Pahit. Tuah survives; Jebat dies in his arms. The state is "saved," order restored — and the hero is hollowed out. The lesson examines what obedience *costs* when it is total: Tuah keeps his honor in the court's eyes and loses it in his own. The epic quietly asks whether a loyalty that can require you to kill your truest friend is a virtue a whole people should celebrate.

Question: Think of a "victory" in your own life that cost more than the defeat would have. What did obeying the rules win, and what did it destroy?

Lesson 8 — The Free Admiral: Statecraft and Autonomy (Theme: Service Matured)

Laksamana Merdeka. The later Hang Tuah is diplomat and admiral — Melaka's envoy and strategist, navigating Siam to the north and Majapahit to the south. Here loyalty grows up: it becomes *statecraft*, a service to the realm's survival rather than to a single man's whim. If the Bendahara (Lesson 4) showed loyalty-as-conscience, the mature Laksamana shows loyalty-as-competence: the patient, worldly work of keeping a fragile state alive.

Question: Where in your own duties could loyalty mature from mere obedience into genuine stewardship of the thing you serve?

Lesson 9 — The Fall of Melaka: When the Thing You Served Dies (Theme: Impermanence)

Kejatuhan Melaka. The empire Hang Tuah gave his life's loyalty to falls. The lesson confronts the hardest fact of a life of service: the institution outlives the servant only until it doesn't. What is the meaning of a loyalty whose object is destroyed? The epic's answer begins to shift from *the kingdom* to something that cannot be conquered — the values themselves.

Question: If the organisation, cause, or community you serve were to vanish, what of your service would remain worth having done?

Lesson 10 — The End and the Legend: The Malay Soul (Theme: Legacy)

Hang Tuah passes into legend — "from mouth to mouth, from generation to generation." The source insists that *"Takkan Melayu hilang di dunia"* is **not a slogan but a prayer and a vow**: the conviction that a people survives *"not because they are many, not because they are rich, but because there are values they hold — values like loyalty, courage, and dignity (maruah)."*

So the course ends where identity (Lesson 1) began — but transformed. Hang Tuah the man is tragic and compromised; Hang Tuah the symbol carries the values the man could not always reconcile. The legend survives precisely because it leaves the dilemma unresolved for each new reader to face.

Question: Which single value from this epic — loyalty, courage, conscience, justice, dignity — do you most want to carry forward, and what would it cost you to hold it when it conflicts with the others?

Character-and-Values Matrix

Figure	Primary value	Embodies	At what cost
Hang Tuah	Loyalty (*taat*) to king & code	Obedience, discipline, honor-in-service	Kills his truest friend; loses inner honor
Hang Jebat	Justice (*keadilan*)	Conscience, courage to revolt	Innocent blood; death as a "traitor"
Bendahara Tun Perak	Truth above power	Prudence, conscience, quiet sacrifice	Deceives the Sultan; bears the loneliness of the secret
The Sultan	Royal majesty (*daulat/maruah*)	Sovereign authority	Majesty preserved by injustice; ruler as "bent shadow"
Hang Kasturi / the brothers	Fellowship (*persahabatan*)	The sworn bond	The bond broken on the Sultan's command

Use the matrix two ways: (1) rank the figures by who was most "right," and defend your order; (2) mark where any two values directly collide — those collisions are the plot.

The Core Comparison: Personal Loyalty vs Public Justice

The entire epic turns on one distinction the Bendahara names and Hang Tuah never quite acts on:

- **Personal loyalty** binds you to a *person* and their will. Its virtues are constancy, obedience, devotion. Its failure mode is complicity: following a person into wrong because they are *your* person.
- **Public justice** binds you to a *standard* that stands above every person, the ruler included. Its virtues are fairness, conscience, courage. Its failure mode is self-righteous destruction — Jebat killing the innocent in justice's name.

The tragedy is that the legend's hero chooses personal loyalty and its heretic chooses public justice, and *neither is simply right.* Tuah's loyalty becomes complicity; Jebat's justice becomes slaughter. The mature answer the epic gestures toward — in the Bendahara, and in the older Laksamana — is a loyalty **to the institution's justice rather than the ruler's will**: serving the throne by serving what the throne is supposed to protect.

Final exercise: Write one page placing yourself in a real situation where loyalty to a person and loyalty to a principle pulled in opposite directions. Which did you choose, which would the Bendahara have chosen, and what is the difference between you?

Closing

Hang Tuah endures not because he solved the problem of loyalty but because he *lived it to its breaking point* and let a whole people watch. The keris is magical; the dilemma is not — it is the oldest question in political life, asked in Malay. *Takkan Melayu hilang di dunia:* a people survives by the values it is willing to argue about forever.
